

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/055,426	Applicant(s) KRUPCZAK, ROBERT	
	Examiner Ranodhi Serrao	Art Unit 2141	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Ranodhi Serrao.

(3) _____

(2) Rick Toering, Reg. No. 43,195.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 November 2007

Time: 2PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-31

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.


- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 WILLIAM VAUGHN
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner pointed out that claims 1-31 are restrictable because there are two distinct inventions claimed. Claims 1-11 and 18-28 claim a different invention than claims 12-17 and 29-31. The Applicant elected claims 1-11 and 18-28 without traverse for examination at this point.



WILLIAM VAUGHN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100